

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 7584 10/660,645 09/12/2003 Lawrence J. O'Connor 082018-0305889 **EXAMINER** 01/12/2005 909 7590 PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP NGUYEN, JOHN QUOC P.O. BOX 10500 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MCLEAN, VA 22102 3654

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  |            | Application No.  | Applicant(s)          |  |
|---|--|------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary   |  |            | 10/660,645   | O'CONNOR, LAWRENCE J. |  |
|   |  |            | Examiner   | Art Unit              |  |
|   |  |            | John Q. Nguyen   | 3654                  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply   |  |            |  |                       |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |            |  |                       |  |
| Status  |  |            |  |                       |  |
| 1)  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |            |  |                       |  |
| 2a)[  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b   | o)∐ This a | action is non-final.   |                       |  |
|   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |            |  |                       |  |
|   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |            |  |                       |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |            |  |                       |  |
| <ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> </ul>   |  |            |  |                       |  |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |  |            |  |                       |  |
| Application Papers  |  |            |  |                       |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |            |  |                       |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |            |  |                       |  |
|   |  |            | - · ·  | ` '                   |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |            |  |                       |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |            |  |                       |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |  |            |  |                       |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |            |  |                       |  |
| 1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT<br>No(s)/Mail Date |            | 4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: |                       |  |

l. Claims 1-11, drawn to a package, classified in class 242, subclass 160.4.

Page 2

II. Claims 12-54, drawn to method and apparatus of forming a package, classified in class 242, subclass 541.3.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process and apparatus as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product such as a roll of paper or a roll of discrete sheets or articles. Furthermore, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process as disclosed by applicant on pages 1-6 of the specification.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application also contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- 1. Figs. 9 and 10 and
- 2. Fig. 11.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 5, 9, 12, 49, and 50 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP on 1/7/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 3654

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2689. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Matecki can be reached on (703) 308-2688. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JLQ. 7 yy

John Q. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3654